

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,782	11/18/2003	Dwayne Need	MFCP.110238	4543	
	7590 01/17/200 DY & BACON L.L.P.	1.	EXAMINER		
(c/o MICROSO	FT CORPORATION)	D. M. (E) III	PATEL, MANGLESH M		
INTELLECTU 2555 GRAND I	AL PROPERTY DEPA	ARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	7, MO 64108-2613	*	2178		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	. PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/715,782	NEED ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Manglesh M. Patel	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE (October 24, 2006)</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original paper.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the election is require	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/715,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Non-Final action is responsive to the RCE filed October 24, 2006.

2. Claims 1-32 are pending. Claims 1, 13, 25 and 30 are independent claims.

## Withdrawn Rejections

3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claim 1-32 with cited reference of Atkins U.S. Pub 2004/0181776 have been withdrawn in light of the amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deleeuw (U.S. 5,828,900, filed Jan 3, 1996).

Regarding Independent claims 1 and 25, Deleeuw discloses a computerized method for processing a user input event having code associated therewith, said method comprising: Receiving notification of said input event, said notification including the associated code (column 1, lines 50-67, wherein the host input stream handles the notification of the input event which includes the code that is stored in a storage module); Determining whether a text converting component is interested in performing a conversion action with respect to said input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the host multiple-byte character generator or IME with the set language my try to convert the input that may have been already translated by the guest character generator or application. Further Deleeuw indicates that a system is needed for application sharing that will disable the application from receiving the events, therefore it has to determine weather the text converting component is interested in performing a conversion in order to block the received events); Notifying an application of said input event by providing said application a sentinel value when the text converting component is interested in performing said conversion action with respect to said input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein Deleeuw indicates that a system is needed to disable the host application from receiving the events to avoid the generation of unpredictable and erroneous characters in an application). Although Deleeuw doesn't explicitly describe sending a sentinel value to the application, he does describe that the event needs to be blocked from

the application. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to send a sentinel value to the application. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent the application from trying to read the event by sending a dummy value thereby preventing the display of unpredictable and erroneous characters.

Regarding Dependent claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Deleeuw discloses wherein said user input event is communicated via a keyboard, a screen with user input capability, a mouse, and/or a device with voice input capacity (column 2, lines 55-67, wherein the input event includes keyboard a display and a mouse device).

Regarding Dependent claims 3, 15 and 26, Deleeuw discloses wherein said code identifies at least a portion of a letter, a character, an ideograph or a symbol associated with said user input event (column 1, lines 25-39, wherein the multiple byte character generator that handles input events includes characters as part of the code).

Regarding Dependent claim 4, which depends on claim 1, Deleeuw discloses communicating said code to the application when the text converting component is not interested in processing said user input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein when the multiple-byte character generator is not interested in performing a conversion then the guest application handles the input event).

Regarding Dependent claims 5 and 18, Deleeuw discloses wherein the text converting component is configured to convert said code to a standard for coding text (column 1, lines 25-40). Deleeuw indicates that the text converting component or multiple-byte character generator supports Chinese and Japanese characters but fails to explicitly describe support for a standard. However at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to include support for a coding standard within the text converting component. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the IME to handle multiple languages using only one character set thereby reducing the complexity of programming.

Regarding Dependent claims 6 and 19, Deleeuw discloses wherein said standard is Unicode (column 1, lines 25-40). Deleeuw indicates that the text converting component or multiple-byte character generator supports Chinese and Japanese characters but fails to explicitly describe support for Unicode encoding. However at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to include support for a coding standard within the text converting component. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the IME to handle multiple languages using only one character set thereby reducing the complexity of programming.

Regarding Dependent claim 7, which depends from claim 1, Deleeuw discloses revealing said code to the application in

response to a request to disclose said code (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the code is revealed to the guest application based on a request obtained from the input event).

Regarding Dependent claim 8, which depends on claim 1, Deleeuw discloses determining whether a computer component is interested in processing said input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the host multiple-byte character generator or IME with the set language my try to convert the input that may have been already translated by the guest character generator or application. Further Deleeuw indicates that a system is needed for application sharing that will disable the application from receiving the events, therefore it has to determine weather the text converting component is interested in performing a conversion in order to block the received events).

Regarding Dependent claims 9, 24, 28 & 32, Deleeuw discloses obfuscating said code from an application when the computer component is interested in processing input event (column 2, lines 25-33, wherein the code is bypassed from an application when the guest application is interested in processing the input event).

Regarding Dependent claim 10, which depends on claim 9, Deleeuw discloses notifying the application that the computer component is interested in processing said input event (column 2, lines 25-33).

Regarding Dependent claims 11, 23 and 29, Deleeuw discloses wherein said computer component is an input method editor (column 2, lines 1-22).

Regarding Dependent claim 12, which depends on claim 8, Deleeuw discloses

wherein said computer component is configured to allow a user to enter at least a portion of a letter, a character, an ideograph or a symbol associated with a desired language (column 2, lines 1-22).

Regarding Independent claim 13, Deleeuw discloses a computer system for processing a user input event having code associated therewith, the system comprising: One or more text converting components (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the host and guest include text converting components); One or more applications (fig 2, wherein the shared applications reside on the host as shown in numeral 99); An input manager configured to interact with said one or more text converting components and said one or more applications, wherein said input manager is configured to receive notification of an input event, said notification including the associated code, and wherein said input manager is further configured to prevent said one or more applications from

Art Unit: 2178

handling said input event by <u>providing a sentinel value to</u> the one or more applications when said one or more text converting components are interested in performing a conversion with respect to said input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein Deleeuw indicates that a system is needed to disable the host application from receiving the events to avoid the generation of unpredictable and erroneous characters in an application). Although Deleeuw doesn't explicitly describe sending a sentinel value to the application, he does describe that the event needs to be blocked from the application. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to send a sentinel value to the application. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent the application from trying to read the event by sending a dummy value thereby preventing the display of unpredictable and erroneous characters

Regarding Dependent claim 14, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses wherein said code is generated by a driver associated with an input device (fig 2, numeral 25, wherein the input includes a keyboard driver to handle the input events).

Regarding Dependent claim 16, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses wherein said user input event is communicated via an input device that is not configured according to a desired language (column 1, lines 25-40, wherein the multiple-byte character generator handles input devices that are not configured in a desired language by converting the input to the desired language).

Regarding Dependent claim 17, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses wherein said input manager is further configured to communicate said code to one or more applications when none of the text converters are interested in processing said user input event (column 2, lines 1-23, wherein when none of the text conversion programs are interested in processing the event then the event is passed to the guest applications).

Regarding Dependent claim 20, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses wherein said input manager is further configured to notify the one or more applications that at least one of said text converting components is interested in performing a conversion action with respect to said input event (column 2, lines 1-23, wherein the guest applications are notified that the text converting component is interested in performing a conversion by bypassing the value in the host text converting application and sending it to the guest application).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding Dependent claim 21, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses wherein said input manager is further configured to reveal said code to one or more of said applications in response to a request to disclose said code (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the code is revealed to the guest application based on a request obtained from the input event).

Page 6

Regarding Dependent claim 22, which depends on claim 13, Deleeuw discloses one or more computer components (see fig 12).

Regarding Dependent claim 27, which depends on claim 25, Deleeuw discloses a computer component interface component for determining whether one or more computer components are interested in handling said user input event (column 2, lines 55-67, wherein the interfacing component is shown in fig 2 between the host and guest machines for application sharing).

Regarding Independent claim 30, Deleeuw discloses a computer system for processing a user input event having code associated therewith, the system comprising: Means for receiving notification of a user input event having code associated therewith, said notification including the associated code (column 1, lines 50-67, wherein the host input stream handles the notification of the input event which includes the code that is stored in a storage module); Means for converting said code to a value indicating a character or a symbol (column 1, lines 25-50, wherein the input includes a character code); One or more applications (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein the host and guest contain multiple applications); Means for interacting with said one or more applications and said converting means in response to notification of said user input event, wherein said means for interacting are configured to prevent one or more applications from handling said user input event by providing a sentinel value to the one or more applications when said converting means are interested in performing a conversion action with respect to said input event (column 2, lines 1-22, wherein Deleeuw indicates that a system is needed to disable the host application from receiving the events to avoid the generation of unpredictable and erroneous characters in an application). Although Deleeuw doesn't explicitly describe sending a sentinel value to the application, he does describe that the event needs to be blocked from the application. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to send a sentinel value to the application. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent the application from trying to read the event by sending a dummy value thereby preventing the display of unpredictable and erroneous characters.

Regarding Dependent claim 31, which depends on claim 30, Deleeuw discloses further comprising means for editing an input method (column 1, lines 10-55, wherein the input method supports editing for selecting different languages and converting to its respective encoding as identified by the multiple-byte character genrator).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,782

Art Unit: 2178

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of

the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied

upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. [[See, MPEP 2123]]

·Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 24, 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground of

rejections.

Conclusion

Other Prior Art Cited

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

• Eisen et al. (U.S. 5,523,754) discloses "Method And Apparatus For Automatic Keyboard Configuration By

Layout"

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Manglesh M. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5937. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W 6 am-3 pm T,

TH 6 am-2pm, Fr 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be

reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manglesh M. Patel Patent Examiner

January 7, 2007

CESAR PAULA

Page 7